ASIATISCHE FORSCHUNGEN

MONOGRAPHIENREIHE ZUR GESCHICHTE, KULTUR UND SPRACHE DER VÖLKER OST- UND ZENTRALASIENS

Begründet von Walther Heissig

Herausgegeben von Thomas O. Höllmann

Band 158

2018

Harrassowitz Verlag · Wiesbaden

Natalia Yampolskaya

Jadamba

Eight Mongolian Translations of the Aṣṭasāhasrikā Prajñāpāramitā sūtra

2018

Harrassowitz Verlag · Wiesbaden

Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über http://dnb.dnb.de abrufbar.

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the internet at http://dnb.dnb.de.

For further information about our publishing program consult our website http://www.harrassowitz-verlag.de

© Otto Harrassowitz GmbH & Co. KG, Wiesbaden 2018 This work, including all of its parts, is protected by copyright. Any use beyond the limits of copyright law without the permission of the publisher is forbidden and subject to penalty. This applies particularly to reproductions, translations, microfilms and storage and processing in electronic systems.

Printed on permanent/durable paper.

Printing and binding: Rosch-Buch Druckerei GmbH, Scheßlitz Printed in Germany

ISSN 0571-320X ISBN 978-3-447-11157-7 からればかかれる

Contents

Preface	. IX
Technical Notes	. XI
Introduction	. 1
Chapter I. Eight Mongolian Translations of the Astasāhasrikā Prajñāpāramitā Sūtra	. 25
1. The Three Translators' Manuscript (1599–1603)	. 25
2. The Translation by Santasiri Dai Guusi Āryadeva (1608)	. 28
3. The Translation by Samdan Sengge (the 1620s)	. 37
4. The Translation by Paṇḍita Darqan Blam-a	. 42
5. The Translation by Altan Gerel Ubasi (mid 17 th century)	. 45
6. The Translation by Jay-a Pandita Nam mkha'i rGya mtsho (1638–1662)	. 48
7. The Translation by Darba Paṇḍita bLo bzang bZod pa rGya mtsho (1678–1702)	. 52
8. The Anonymous Translation (before 1720)	. 65
Chapter II. The Eight Translations Compared	. 75
Part 1. Primary Comparative Analysis	. 76
Part 2. Vocabulary	. 95
Chapter III. Translating Techniques	. 121
Concluding Remarks	. 149
Bibliography	. 163
Index	. 167
Appendices	. 171
Appendix 1	. 171
Appendix 2	. 245
Appendix 3	270

Preface

Jadamba—this is the name under which the Aṣṭasāhasrikā Prajñāpāramitā sūtra is widely known in Mongolia. The Aṣṭasāhasrikā, or the sūtra of Eight Thousand (Mong. naiman mingyatu sudur) is one of those Buddhist texts, on a par with the Vajracchedikā Prajñāpāramitā, Suvarṇaprabhāsa, Pañcarakṣā and others, that enjoy a special status in Mongolian culture. Apart from its role in liturgy, it is associated with popular ritual and revered as a powerful symbol. The Aṣṭasāhasrikā is spread in Mongolia in numerous copies, the bulk of which, as one would find while browsing through library collections, shelves of antique shops and private households, contain the Tibetan translation of the text. Hence the established name Jadamba, which is a phonetic rendering of the Tibetan brgyad stong pa—"Eight Thousand."

The prevalence of the Tibetan version of the $s\bar{u}tra$ is a common phenomenon in Mongolia where Tibetan has long been the principal language of Buddhist texts and ritual. However, it does not depreciate the significance of the Mongolian translations. The $Astas\bar{a}hasrik\bar{a}$ was translated into Mongolian multiple times. At present eight Mongolian translations are described and one Oirat translation is published, and it is possible that there were others that have not come to be known today.

The present publication introduces eight Mongolian translations of the *Aṣṭasāhasrikā Prajñāpāramitā*. I started researching this subject in 2010 within the context of my Ph. D. project at Bern University, Switzerland. At that point the Mongolian translations of the *Aṣṭasāhasrikā* had never become the object of scholars' attention. A three year research resulted in identifying eight different translations all of which date back to the period from the early 17th to the early 18th century. The Oirat translation could not be accessed at that time and was not included in the study. A fragmentary comparative analysis of the eight translations was carried out in order to show how a sacred canonical text was handled by the Mongolian translators of the period. The rich textual material provided for the fruitfulness of the study, the results of which allow to make observations on the translators' methods of work and give grounds for speculations on their understanding of the process and aims of translation. The analysis of the religious and philosophical content of the *sūtra* is not pursued in this work.

The results of the study are presented in this book as three blocks: the extra-textual data on the eight translations (based on the colophons), the comparative analysis of the texts' structure, vocabulary and style followed by conclusions and observations in a broader context, and the textual material in the form of comparative tables, which shows the overall structure of the translations, the text of one chapter and a selection of vocabulary. The last block can be used by the reader not only as an illustration to the analytical part of the study,

¹ The study was conducted as part of the interdisciplinary project "Text und Normativität" that focused in particular on redefining the concept of canon and canonicity. The project was based at the universities of Luzern and Bern and sponsored by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

X Preface

but also as a convenient source of comparative textual material that can serve as reference for students of the language of Mongolian translated Buddhist literature.

One major drawback of my work is the limited range of comparative material in other languages. The *Aṣṭasāhasrikā* was translated into Mongolian from Tibetan, and the Tibetan text of the *sūtra* is addressed consistently. However, a comprehensive study of all the Tibetan versions has not been carried out, and this is probably the reason why some of the questions that present themselves in the course of the analysis remain unanswered. The same can be said of the Chinese translations that are not addressed here at all. This book is but the first step in the study of the *Aṣṭasāhasrikā* in Mongolia, and I hope that it will become the basis for future research that will fill these lacunae.

Altogether, the aim of the book is to introduce the translations of the $Astas\bar{a}hasrik\bar{a}$ $Praj\tilde{n}\bar{a}p\bar{a}ramit\bar{a}$ $s\bar{u}tra$ as part of the literary process in 17^{th} century Mongolia.

Natalia Yampolskaya St. Petersburg, 2018