

LINCOM Studies in Afroasiatic Linguistics

LINCOM Studies in Afroasiatic Linguistics

In this series

- | | |
|-------------------------|--|
| 02 Gábor Takács | Development of Afro-Asiatic (Semito-Hamitic)
Comparative-Historical Linguistics in Russia
and the Former Soviet Union |
| 05 Hassan A. H. Gadalla | Comparative Morphology of Standard Egyptian
Arabic |
| 06 Mengistu Amberber | Verb Classes and Transitivity in Amharic |
| 08 Michael Waltisberg | Die St-Stämme des Altäthiopischen |
| 09 Golda H. Kaplan | Use of aspect-tense verbal forms in Akkadian
texts of the Hammurapi period (1792—1750
B.C.) |
| 12 Tali Bar | If.
Conditional Sentences in Contemporary Hebrew.
Structure, Meaning, and Usage of Tenses |

Use of aspect-tense verbal forms in Akkadian texts of the Hammurapi period

(1792-1750 B.C.)

Golda H. Kaplan

2002
LINCOM EUROPA

Published by LINCOM EUROPA 2002.

All correspondence concerning *LINCOM Studies in Afro-Asiatic Linguistics* should be addressed to:

LINCOM EUROPA
Freibadstr. 3
D-81543 Muenchen

LINCOM.EUROPA@t-online.de
<http://home.t-online.de/home/LINCOM.EUROPA>
www.lincom-europa.com

All rights reserved, including the rights of translation into any foreign language. No part of this book may be reproduced in any way without the permission of the publisher.

Printed in E.C.

Printed on chlorine-free paper

Die Deutsche Bibliothek - CIP Cataloguing-in-Publication-Data

A catalogue record for this publication is available from Die Deutsche Bibliothek (<http://www.ddb.de>)

ISBN 3 89586 692 X

To the memory of my mother
ADEL I. FURMANSKAYA (1916–1964),
a classical archaeologist

Table of contents

Acknowledgements	3
Preface	4
Abbreviations	5
Chapter 1. Use of aspect-tense verbal forms in the letters of Hammurapi	6
I. Use of the Present	6
II. Use of the Preterite	9
III. Use of the Perfect	14
IV. Correlation of the Present, Preterite and Perfect when used in a similar syntactic context and in the same aspect-tense meaning	16
V. Prefixal forms used as the Prefixal Stative	18
VI. Prefixal forms not precisely definable	19
VII. General scheme of use of aspect-tense verbal forms	20
VIII. Specific distribution of the examples	21
Present	21
Preterite	21
Perfect	22
IX. Notes to Chapter 1	22
Chapter 2. Use of aspect-tense verbal forms in the Code of Hammurapi	28
General remarks	28
Section 1. Introduction and Conclusion of the Code of Hammurapi	31
I. Use of the Present	31
II. Use of the Preterite	31
III. Use of the Perfect	33
IV. Correlation of the Preterite and Perfect when used in a similar syntactic context and in the same aspect-tense meaning	33
V. Prefixal forms used as the Prefixal Stative	33
VI. Prefixal forms not precisely definable	34
VII. General scheme of use of aspect-tense verbal forms	34
VIII. Specific distribution of the examples	34
Present	34
Preterite	34
Perfect	35
Section 2. Cases of direct speech and oaths in the law clauses of the Code of Hammurapi	35
I. Use of the Present	35
II. Use of the Preterite	35
III. Prefixal forms used as the Prefixal Stative	36
IV. General scheme of use of aspect-tense verbal forms	36
V. Specific distribution of the examples	36
Present	36
Preterite	36
Section 3. Apodoses in the law clauses of the Code of Hammurapi	36
I. Use of the Present	36
II. Use of the Preterite	39
III. Use of the Perfect	40
IV. Correlation of the Preterite and Perfect when used in a similar syntactic context and in the same aspect-tense meaning	40
V. Prefixal forms used as the Prefixal Stative	40
VI. Prefixal forms not precisely definable	40
VII. General scheme of use of aspect-tense verbal forms	41
VIII. Specific distribution of the examples	41
Present	41
Preterite	41
Perfect	42

Section 4. Protases of group 2 in the law clauses of the Code of Hammurapi	42
I. Use of the Present.....	42
II. Use of the Preterite	42
III. Use of the Perfect	43
IV. Correlation of the Present, Preterite and Perfect when used in a similar syntactic context and in the same aspect-tense meaning	43
V. Prefixal forms used as the Prefixal Stative.....	43
VI. Prefixal forms not precisely definable.....	44
VII. General scheme of use of aspect-tense verbal forms.....	44
VIII. Specific distribution of the examples	44
Present	44
Preterite	44
Perfect.....	44
Section 5. Protases of group 1 in the law clauses of the Code of Hammurapi	44
I. Use of the Present.....	44
II. Use of the Preterite	46
III. Use of the Perfect	47
IV. Correlation of the Present, Preterite and Perfect when used in a similar syntactic context and in the same aspect-tense meaning	49
V. Prefixal forms used as the Prefixal Stative.....	56
VI. Prefixal forms not precisely definable.....	56
VII. General scheme of use of aspect-tense verbal forms.....	57
VIII. Specific distribution of the examples	57
Present	57
Preterite	57
Perfect.....	57
IX. Notes to Chapter 2.....	57
Chapter 3. Comparison of use of aspect-tense verbal forms in the letters of Hammurapi with that in the Code of Hammurapi.....	68
I. Comparison of use of aspect-tense verbal forms in the letters of Hammurapi with that in the Introduction and Conclusion of the Code of Hammurapi.....	68
II. Comparison of use of aspect-tense verbal forms in the letters of Hammurapi with that in cases of direct speech and oaths in the Code of Hammurapi	68
III. Comparison of use of aspect-tense verbal forms in the letters of Hammurapi with that in apodoses in the law clauses of the Code of Hammurapi	68
IV. Comparison of use of aspect-tense verbal forms in the letters of Hammurapi with that in protases of group 2 in the law clauses of the Code of Hammurapi	68
V. Comparison of use of aspect-tense verbal forms in the letters of Hammurapi with that in protases of group 1 in the law clauses of the Code of Hammurapi	69
VI. Notes to Chapter 3.....	71
Chapter 4. Conclusion.....	80
I. Summarizing tables of use of aspect-tense verbal forms in the texts of Hammurapi.....	80
Present	80
Preterite	82
Perfect.....	84
II. Correlation of the Present, Preterite and Perfect with similar aspect-tense meaning in the texts of Hammurapi	84
1. Durative in the past.....	84
2. Punctive in the past.....	85
3. Punctive in the future.....	87
III. General conclusions.....	88
IV. Notes to Chapter 4.....	89
References	96
Index of texts cited	99
Index of authors	102

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am deeply indebted to my scientific supervisor Igor M. Diakonoff who encouraged me in this work and even started improving its English style.

My special thanks go to Dr. Leonid E. Kogan (the Oriental Institute of Moscow State University for the Humanities) and Loretta M. Golovleva (the Institute of Oriental Studies, St.-Petersburg branch) who read the Russian version through and made a series of useful remarks.

I'd like to express my gratitude to Profs. Nelly V. Kozyreva of the Faculty of Oriental Studies, St.-Petersburg State University, and Vladimir A. Jacobson of the Institute of Oriental Studies, St.-Petersburg branch, for their helpful remarks on historical context and the meaning of some terms in the texts treated.

The author has benefited from the help of Adel V. Nemirovskaya of the Faculty of Oriental Studies, St.-Petersburg State University, during all stages of preparing the manuscript for publication.

I owe a great debt to Prof. Shlomo Izre'el of Tel Aviv University and Dr. Eran Cohen of the Hebrew University of Jerusalem for their important comments on both the form and contents of the manuscript. I'm extremely grateful to Prof. Shlomo Izre'el for his recommendation of the work to be published, as well as for his kind support, good will and efficiency.

Last but not least, I wish to express my heartfelt thanks to Dr. Ulrich Lüders of LINCOM EUROPA for accepting this manuscript for publication.

Golda Kaplan

PREFACE

The conjugated forms traditionally named as the Present, Preterite and Perfect are the main components of the Akkadian aspect-tense verbal system. The existence of the Akkadian Perfect and the peculiarities of its usage had been the subject of lengthy discussion resulting in the section “Das Perfekt” in GAG 1952, § 80. The section was included into GAG 1995 without any serious changes.

When studying the Perfect in MA we came to the conclusion that the use of the Perfect in that dialect should have been explained a way different from that suggested by von Soden. Thus, the necessity arose to check anew the use of the Perfect at the earlier stages of the development of Akkadian. Typically, the frequency of the Perfect is different for texts of various genres. Our research of the Perfect was chiefly based on MA letters, legal and economic documents, and MA Laws. There are counterparts of such texts in OB as well, in which, however, the Perfect is used often enough only in letters, in the Laws of Eshnunna and in the Code of Hammurapi. In OB legal texts the Perfect occurs rarely (v. Huehnergard § 17.2; cf. Riftin).

For the synchronous analysis of the texts of different genres we have chosen the letters of Hammurapi and the Code of Hammurapi, since both the LH and the version of the CH that has come down to us on the stele, belong to the same period in Babylonian history, and probably come from the same source, namely, the offices of Hammurapi. During our work, when necessary, some other OB letters, and also LE were taken into account.

The Perfect being a component of the single aspect-tense verbal system, its usage was chosen to be studied along with that of the Present and Preterite in this work. When considered simultaneously Present forms and their English equivalents are underlined in broken line, Preterite forms — in one line and Perfect forms — in double line.

Footnotes' numbers most significant to the author are printed in bold.

Editions of the LH and of the CH referred to are as follows:

A. The LH (with the name of Hammurapi preserved, at least in part):

- | | |
|-----------|--|
| AbB 1 | F. R. Kraus. Briefe aus dem British Museum (CT 43 und 44). Leiden 1964, No 1. |
| AbB 2 | R. Frankena. Briefe aus dem British Museum (LIH und CT 2—33). Leiden 1966, Nos. 1—44, 55—60, 76. |
| AbB 4 | F. R. Kraus. Briefe aus dem Archive des Šamaš-ḫāzir in Paris und Oxford (TCL 7 und OECT 3). Leiden 1968, Nos. 1—42, 79—109, 166. |
| AbB 5 | F. R. Kraus. Briefe aus dem Istanbuler Museum. Leiden 1972, Nos. 135, 136, 137. |
| AbB 8 | L. Cagni. Briefe aus dem Iraq Museum (TIM II). Leiden 1980, Nos. 19, 50, 53. |
| AbB 9 | M. Stol. Letters from Yale. Leiden 1981, Nos. 32, 188—196. |
| AbB 11 | M. Stol. Letters from Collections in Philadelphia, Chicago and Berkeley. Leiden 1986, Nos. 165, 166. |
| AbB 13 | W. H. van Soldt. Letters in the British Museum. Part 2. Leiden 1994, Nos. 5, 7-13, 15-25, 27-32, 35-36, 38-43, 46-48. |
| ARM 2 | Archives Royales de Mari 2. Lettres diverses transcrites et traduites par Ch.-F. Jean. Paris 1950, No. 78. |
| ARM 6 | Archives Royales de Mari 6. Correspondance de Baḫdi-Lim transcrite et traduite par J.R. Kupper. Paris 1954, Nos. 51, 52. |
| BB | A. Ungnad. Babylonische Briefe aus der Zeit der Ḥammurapi-Dynastie. Vorderasiatische Bibliothek 6. Lpz. 1914, Nos. 4, 37, 45. |
| Schileico | W. Schileico. Ein Brief Ḥammurabis aus der Kaiserlichen Ermitage zu St.Petersburg. OLZ 3. 1914, 112. |

Syria 19 G.Dossin. *Les archives épistolaires du palais de Mari*. Syria 19. Paris 1938, 105-126 (three LH: pp. 118-119), Nos. 1, 2, 3.

In the present work all the letters are quoted as they have been transcribed and transliterated by their editors.

B. The CH:

Borger R.Borger. *Babylonisch-assyrische Lesestücke*. Roma 1963.
Driver, Miles G. R. Driver and J. C. Miles. *The Babylonian Laws*. Vol.II. Oxford 1955.
Ungnad A. Ungnad. *Keilschrifttexte der Gesetze Hammurapis*. Lpz. 1909.

In the present work only those parts of the CH are taken into consideration that have been preserved on the stele itself, they are: the Introduction, the Conclusion, §§ 1—65, 100—261, 263—282. In § 53 non-preserved part of the protasis has been omitted; in §§ 65, 133a non-preserved apodoses have been omitted as well. §§ 274, 275 are damaged, but the verbal forms have not suffered and the context can be easily implied.

ABBREVIATIONS

CH	the Code of Hammurapi
LB	Late Babylonian
LE	the Laws of Eshnunna
LH	the letters of Hammurapi
MA	Middle Assyrian
MB	Middle Babylonian
NA	Neo-Assyrian
NB	Neo-Babylonian
OA	Old Assyrian
OB	Old Babylonian
SB	Standard Babylonian
Pref.St	the Prefixal Stative
Prf	the Perfect
Prs	the Present
Prt	the Preterite
St	the Stative